

Physics Beyond the Standard Model —

Exercise Sheet 7

Summer term 2019 Prof. Dominik Stöckinger, Dr. Hyejung Stöckinger-Kim (IKTP)

1. MSSM Higgs/Higgsino sector, Peccei-Quinn symmetry (3 points):

The superpotential of the minimal supersymmetric standard model (MSSM) with one generation of quarks and leptons is given by

$$W_{\text{MSSM}} = y_d H_d \cdot QD + y_u H_u \cdot QU + y_e H_d \cdot LE - \mu H_d \cdot H_u. \quad (1)$$

For details see Sheet 6.

a) Consider the superpotential in the limit $\mu = 0$. Show that in this limit the entire supersymmetric part of the MSSM Lagrangian has a global $U(1)_{\text{PQ}}$ symmetry¹ under which

$$H_u \rightarrow e^{i\alpha} H_u \quad H_d \rightarrow e^{i\alpha} H_d \quad D \rightarrow e^{-i\alpha} D \quad \dots \quad (2)$$

(And provide transformations of all other superfields such that this transformation is indeed a symmetry!)

b) Consider the soft SUSY breaking Lagrangian of the MSSM. Show that it is invariant under the same $U(1)_{\text{PQ}}$ symmetry in the limit $B\mu = 0$.

c) In the vacuum the two Higgs doublets have vacuum expectation values

$$H_d = \begin{pmatrix} v_d \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad H_u = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v_u \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3)$$

Is the $U(1)_{\text{PQ}}$ symmetry spontaneously broken? Note that if Yes, you would expect a massless Goldstone boson. For $\mu, B\mu \neq 0$ this would imply that one Higgs mass eigenvalue is proportional to $\mu, B\mu$.

2. Proton decay and R-parity violation (2 points):

Write down a Feynman diagram which could give rise to proton decay in the MSSM with the following R-parity violating terms:

a) Additional term UDD in the superpotential — what could be the final state of the proton decay?

b) Additional term LQD in the superpotential — what could be the final state of the proton decay?

¹Such a symmetry is commonly called Peccei-Quinn symmetry.

3. Flavour violating soft SUSY breaking parameters (2 points):

Assume that the MSSM Lagrangian contains the soft SUSY breaking term

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{soft}} = \dots + (M_Q^2)_{32} (\tilde{t}_L^\dagger, \tilde{b}_L^\dagger) \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{c}_L \\ \tilde{s}_L \end{pmatrix} + h.c. \quad (4)$$

and treat this $(M_Q^2)_{32}$ term as a small interaction (i.e. giving rise to bilinear Feynman rules). Show that there exists a Feynman diagram which gives contributes to the process

$$b \rightarrow s\gamma \quad (5)$$

at the one-loop level proportional to $eg_s^2(M_Q^2)_{32}$, where g_s is the strong gauge coupling.

4. MSSM Higgs potential (3 points):

The quartic terms of the MSSM Higgs potential are exclusively given by the D -terms for the $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ gauge groups (why?):

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Higgs pot.}}^D = -\frac{g_W^2}{2} \left(H_d^\dagger T^a H_d + H_u^\dagger T^a H_u \right)^2 - \frac{g_Y^2}{2} \left(Y_{H_d} H_d^\dagger H_d + Y_{H_u} H_u^\dagger H_u \right)^2 \quad (6)$$

where $T^a = \sigma^2/2$, the square implies a sum over a , and $Y_{H_u} = 1/2$, $Y_{H_d} = -1/2$. Note the following relations for doublets ϕ, ϕ_i :

$$\phi^\dagger \sigma^a \phi = -(\phi^C)^\dagger \sigma^a \phi^C, \quad (\phi_1^\dagger \sigma^a \phi_1) (\phi_2^\dagger \sigma^a \phi_2) = 2\phi_1^\dagger \phi_2 \phi_2^\dagger \phi_1 - \phi_1^\dagger \phi_1 \phi_2^\dagger \phi_2. \quad (7)$$

a) Identify $H_d^C = \Phi_1$ and $H_u = \Phi_2$ to map the MSSM Higgs sector to the one of the 2HDM, see e.g. Sheet 4. Argue first that the coefficients $\lambda_{1,2,3,4}$ of the general 2HDM Higgs potential from Sheet 4, eq. (2), are all proportional to $g_{W,Y}^2$. Argue also without calculation that $\lambda_5 = 0$.

b) Determine the values of $\lambda_{1,2,3,4,5}$. Hint: some sample results are $\lambda_5 = 0$, $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 \propto (g_W^2 + g_Y^2)$, $\lambda_4 \propto g_W^2$.

Note: this calculation is the basis for the prediction that the lightest CP-even Higgs boson in the MSSM has a tree-level mass $\leq M_Z$, a result of utmost phenomenological interest.