

Introduction to D-modules

(1)

Overview of lecture: - The Weyl algebra, modules over it

- D-modules on (smooth) affine varieties
 - functors (direct / inverse image)
 - holonomicity
 - RH - correspondence
-

Introduction: Motivation from linear algebra

Consider system $\sum_{j=1}^q a_{ij} x_j = 0 \quad i=1, \dots, p$

$a_{i,j} \in K$. Matrix notation: $A = (a_{i,j}) \in M(p \times q, K)$

$$\leadsto K^p \xrightarrow{\varphi} K^q \quad \varphi = - \cdot A$$

explicitly: $(x_1, \dots, x_p) \mapsto \left(\sum_{i=1}^p x_i \cdot a_{i,1}, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^p x_i \cdot a_{i,q} \right)$

Put $V := \text{coker}(\varphi) \leadsto$ exact sequence

$$K^p \xrightarrow{\varphi} K^q \rightarrow V \rightarrow 0$$

$$\text{Let } \text{So}(A, K) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_q \end{pmatrix} \in K^q \mid \sum_{j=1}^q a_{ij} x_j = 0, \forall i \in \{1, \dots, p\} \right\} \quad (2)$$

"Theorem": $\text{So}(A, K) \cong \text{Hom}_K(V, K)$

"Proof": have $\text{Hom}_K(V, K) \cong \left\{ f: K^q \rightarrow K \mid f \circ \varphi = 0 \right\}$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K^p & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & K^q & \longrightarrow & V \\ & \searrow & \downarrow f & \swarrow & \\ & 0 & K & & \end{array}$$

K^q

Put $x_j := f(e_j^v) \quad j=1, \dots, q$

$f \circ \varphi = 0 \implies f \left(\sum_{i,j} \gamma_i a_{ij} \cdot e_j \right)$ general element in $\text{im}(\varphi)$

$$= \sum_{i,j} \gamma_i a_{ij} \cdot x_j = 0 \quad \forall (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_p) \in K^p \iff$$

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^q a_{ij} x_j \right)_{i=1, \dots, p} = \underline{0} \in K^p \iff \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_q \end{pmatrix} \in \text{So}(A, K) \quad \square$$

Remark: This also works for systems

with $A \in M(p \times q, R)$, where $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.

Notice: If S is R -algebra, e.g. $S = k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Sol}(A, S) = \{ \pm \in S^q \mid A \cdot \pm = 0 \} \simeq \text{Hom}_R(\text{coker}(\varphi), S).$$

generalize further to the non-commutative ring

$$A_n := A_n(K) = \left\{ P = \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_n \\ \text{finite}}} f_{i_1, \dots, i_n}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \frac{\partial^{i_1}}{\partial x_1^{i_1}} \cdots \frac{\partial^{i_n}}{\partial x_n^{i_n}} \right\}$$

of linear partial differential operators with polynomial coefficients (i.e. $f_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \in R$)

short-hand - notation: $P = \sum_{\substack{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n \\ \text{finite}}} c_{\alpha, \beta} \cdot x^\alpha \cdot \partial^\beta$

where: $c_{\alpha, \beta} \in K$; $x^\alpha := x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}$; $\partial^\beta := \partial_1^{\beta_1} \cdots \partial_n^{\beta_n} := \frac{\partial^{\beta_1}}{\partial x_1^{\beta_1}} \cdots \frac{\partial^{\beta_n}}{\partial x_n^{\beta_n}}$

A_n is called Weyl algebra. Multiplication. [4]

$$[x_i, x_j] := x_i \cdot x_j - x_j \cdot x_i = 0$$

$$[\partial_i, \partial_j] = 0$$

$$[\partial_i, x_j] = \delta_{ij} \quad \left(\text{remember } \partial_i(x_j f) = \partial_i(x_j) \cdot f + x_j \cdot \partial_i(f) = \delta_{ij} \cdot f + x_j \cdot \partial_i(f) \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \partial_i(x_j f) - x_j \partial_i(f) = \delta_{ij} \cdot f$$

$$\Rightarrow \partial_i \cdot x_j - x_j \cdot \partial_i = \delta_{ij}$$

as operators acting on $K[\pm]$

notice: R is left A_n -module via

$$A_n \times R \rightarrow R$$

$$(P, f) \mapsto P(f) \quad (\text{Differentiation of function } f \text{ by } P)$$

$$\leadsto A_n \subset \text{End}_K(R) \text{ subalgebra}$$

namely, smallest subalg. generated by 5

R itself $(R \times R \rightarrow R, (r, l) \mapsto r \cdot l)$ and

$\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n$.

th: This description also exists for

R f.g. K -alg, not necessarily regular.

description of linear systems of PDE's: let

$$\sum_{j=1}^q P_{ij} u_j = 0 \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, p$$

be given, where $P_{ij} \in A_n$ and where we look for solutions $u_j \in S$, S a commutative K -algebra which is also A_n -module (eg. $S = R$, $S = K[[x]]$

or, if $R = \mathbb{C}$, then $S = \mathbb{C}\{x\}$, or, if $K = \mathbb{R}$, $S = C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), \dots$)

Description of $Sol(P, S) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ \vdots \\ u_q \end{pmatrix} \in S^q \mid \sum_{j=1}^q P_{ij} u_j = 0 \right\}$

(6)

Put $\varphi: A_n^p \longrightarrow A_n^q$

$$(q_1, \dots, q_p) \longmapsto \left(\sum_{i=1}^p q_i \cdot p_{ij} \right)_{j=1, \dots, q}$$

this is left A_n -linear (!). Put

$M := \text{coker}(\varphi) \rightarrow$ left A_n -module

again: diagram of left A_n -mod.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A_n^p & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & A_n^q & \xrightarrow{\pi} & M = A_n^q / \varphi(A_n^p) \rightarrow 0 \\ & \searrow & \downarrow f & \swarrow & \\ & 0 & S & & \end{array}$$

so $\text{Hom}_{A_n}(M, S) = \{f: A_n^q \rightarrow S \mid f \circ \varphi = 0\}$

Put $\forall j=1, \dots, q: u_j := f(e_j) \in S$ (determines f uniquely)

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^q P_{ij} u_j = \sum_{j=1}^q P_{ij} f(e_j) = f \left(\overbrace{\sum_{j=1}^q P_{ij} e_j}^{\in \text{Im}(\varphi)} \right) = 0 \quad \forall i=1, \dots, p$$

Hence: $\text{Sol}(P, S) \simeq \text{Hom}_{A_n}(M, S)$

Example 1: $n=1$

- $M = A_1/A_1(x\partial_x - k)$ $k \in \mathbb{N}$ has soln' $u = x^k \in R$
- $M = A_1/A_1(x\partial_x - k)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0}$ has soln' $u = x^k \in R[x^{-1}]$
- $M = A_1/A_1(x\partial_x - \alpha)$, $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}$ has soln $u = x^\alpha \notin R$
 $\in \mathcal{O}(u^{\mathbb{C}})$
- $M = A_1/A_1(\partial_x - 1)$ has soln $u = e^x \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C})$
- $M = A_1/A_1(x^2\partial_x + 1)$ has soln $u = e^{\frac{1}{x}} \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^*)$

first 3 ex. : regular singular

last 2 ex : irregular singular

example 2: still $n=1$, consider

2nd-order equation $a(x)u'' + b(x)u' + c(x)u = 0$

with $a, b, c \in R = K[x] \iff M_1 := A_1/A_1(a \cdot \partial_x^2 + b \cdot \partial_x + c)$

Put $u_1 := u$, $u_2 := u' \leadsto$ 1st-order system

$$u_1' - u_2 = 0$$

$$a \cdot u_2' + b \cdot u_2 + c \cdot u_1 = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ c & a \cdot d_x + b \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow M_2 := \text{Coker} \left(A_1^2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ c & a d_x + b \end{pmatrix}} A_1^2 \right)$$

Claim: (ex.) $\exists$ isomorphism of left A_1 -modules

$$M_1 \xrightarrow{\cong} M_2$$

recall: Weyl algebra $A_n = A_n(k)$:

free k -algebra gen. by $x_1, \dots, x_n, \partial_1, \dots, \partial_n$

subject to relations $[x_i, x_j] = [\partial_i, \partial_j] = 0 \quad \forall i, j$

and $[\partial_i, x_j] = \delta_{ij}$

Philosophy: Homogeneous lin. systems of PDE's
(with coeff's in $\mathbb{R}$)
 $\Leftrightarrow$ fin. gen. left modules over A_n

basic examples: (left) ideals in A_n (and quotients)

Prop.: A_n is simple as k -alg., i.e. $I \subset A_n$

left + right ideal $\Rightarrow I = (0)$ or $I = A_n$

Proof: Show (ex): The following rel. hold in A_n :

$$[\partial_i, x^\alpha \partial^\beta] = \alpha_i \cdot x^{\alpha - e_i} \partial^\beta \quad \text{and} \quad [x_j, x^\alpha \partial^\beta] = -\beta_j \cdot x^\alpha \partial^{\beta - e_j} \quad (*)$$

Suppose $(0) \subsetneq I \subset A_n$ is 2-sided ideal

10

Take $P = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} c_{\alpha, \beta} x^\alpha \partial^\beta \in I \setminus (0)$. Let

$$m_0 := \max \{d_1 \mid c_{\alpha, \beta} \neq 0\}$$

supp. $m_0 > 0$, then $P_1 := [\partial_1, P] = \partial_1 P - P \partial_1 \in I$ (!!)

Def. m_1 as m_0 for $P_1 \xrightarrow{(*)} m_1 < m_0$

repeat until P_k with $m_k = 0$

Continue with $\partial_2, \dots, \partial_n \leadsto \exists Q \in I, Q \in K[\partial]$

Similarly $[Q, x_i] \in I \leadsto$ eliminate all x_i

..... $\Rightarrow \exists c \in I, c \in K^n \Rightarrow I = A_n \quad \square$

Converting left into right modules & vice versa:

Lemma (a) M left A_n -module $\Leftrightarrow M$ is R -module +

$d_i \in \text{End}_K(M)$ with $[d_i, d_j] = 0$

& $d_i(x_j \cdot m) - x_j d_i(m) = \delta_{ij} m \quad (*)$

(b) M right A_n -mod. $\Rightarrow M$ is R -mod, $d_i \in \text{End}_K(M)$

$$[d_i, d_j] = 0$$

$$\& d_i(x_j \cdot m) - x_j d_i(m) = -\delta_{ij} m \quad (**)$$

Proof: (a) Put $\partial_i m := d_i(m)$ then $[\partial_i, \partial_j] m = 0$ and

$$[\partial_i, x_j] m - \delta_{ij} m = 0 \Rightarrow M \text{ is left } A_n\text{-mod}$$

(b) $m \partial_i := d_i(m)$ right A_n -mod

Consequence: Let M be a left A_n -mod.

Define commuting endom's $d_i \in \text{End}_K(M)$ by

$$d_i(m) := -\partial_i m$$

$\Rightarrow M$ is R -mod & d_i 's satisfy $(**)$

this yields right A_n -structure on M

concretely: If $P = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} c_{\alpha, \beta} x^\alpha \partial^\beta \in A_n$, define

$$P^T := \sum_{\alpha, \beta} c_{\alpha, \beta} (-1)^{|\beta|} \partial^\beta \cdot x^\alpha \text{ then } m \cdot P := P^T \cdot m$$

defines right A_n -structure

Filtrations on A_n and on A_n -modules:

172

For $P = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}} c_{\alpha} x^{\alpha} \in A_n$, define

$$\text{ord}(P) = \max \{ |\beta| \mid c_{\beta} \neq 0 \}$$

Facts (ex:) - $\text{ord}(P \cdot Q) = \text{ord } P + \text{ord } Q$

$$- \text{ord}[P, Q] = \text{ord } P + \text{ord } Q - 1$$

formalize this:

Def.: K field A an associative K -alg.

A filtration $F \cdot A$ is a sequence of K -v.sp.

$$\{0\} = F_{-1}A \subseteq F_0A \subseteq F_1A \subseteq \dots$$

$$\text{s.t. } F_k A \cdot F_\ell A \subseteq F_{k+\ell} A \text{ and } \bigcup_{k \geq 0} F_k A = A$$

(in part: $F_0 A \subseteq A$ is subalgebra & each $F_k A$ is a left + right $F_0 A$ -module)

Example 1: $A = A_n$, $F_k^{\text{ord}} A_n = \{ P \in A_n \mid \text{ord}(P) \leq k \}$

notice: $F_0^{\text{ord}} A_n = R = K[x]$ & $F_k A_n$ is f.g. R -mod 13

Example 2: still $A = A_n$,

$$F_k^B A_n := \left\{ P = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} c_{\alpha, \beta} X^\alpha \partial^\beta \mid |\alpha| + |\beta| \leq k \right\}$$

Bernstein filtration

here: $F_0^B A_n = K$, $F_k^B A_n$ is finite K -v.sp.

$$\text{also: } F_k^B A_n \cdot F_l^B A_n = F_{k+l}^B A_n$$

Notice: $F_\bullet^{\text{ord}}$ better suited when studying diff. systems
on alg./analytic varieties

$F_\bullet^B$ only works for A_n but $\dim_K F_k^B < \infty$

how to get commutative ring from $(A, F_\bullet A)$?

Def.: Let $(A, F_\bullet A)$ be a filtered ring, then

$$\text{define } \text{gr}_k^F A := F_k A / F_{k-1} A \text{ \& } \text{gr}_\bullet^F := \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \text{gr}_k^F A$$

Then $\text{gr}^{\overline{F}} A$ is $\overset{\text{graded}}{\vee} K$ -algebra, with multiplication; [14]

$$\left(r + F_{e-1} A\right) \cdot \left(s + F_{e-1} A\right) := \left(r \cdot s + F_{e-1} A\right)$$

$\forall r \in F_e A, s \in F_e A$ well-defined!

For order- resp. Bernstein filtrations, we get:

Lemma: Put $A = A_n = A_n(K)$.

(a) $\text{gr}^{\text{ord}} A_n \simeq K[\overline{x}_1, \dots, \overline{x}_n, \overline{\partial}_1, \dots, \overline{\partial}_n]$

where $\overline{\partial}_i = \text{Im of } \partial_i \text{ in } F_1/F_0$,

and $\deg(x_i) = 0, \deg(\overline{\partial}_i) = 1$

(b) $\text{gr}^{\text{FB}} A_n \simeq K[\overline{x}_1, \dots, \overline{x}_n, \overline{\partial}_1, \dots, \overline{\partial}_n]$

with $\deg(x_i) = \deg(\overline{\partial}_i) = 1$

Pf: exercise (main point $[\partial_i, x_j] \in F_0$ in both cases)

good filtrations: Definition; Let M be a left

A_n -module. A filtration $\{0\} = F_{-1}M \subseteq F_0M \subseteq F_1M \subseteq \dots$

by k -sub-vector spaces s.t. $M = \bigcup_{k \geq 0} F_k M$.

is called compatible with (A, F) $\iff$

- $\forall k, l \in \mathbb{N}: F_k A_n \cdot F_l M \subseteq F_{k+l} M$
- $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}: F_k M$ is f.g. $F_0 A$ -module.

Put $\text{gr}_k^F M := F_k M / F_{k+1} M$ & $\text{gr}_\bullet^F M := \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \text{gr}_k^F M$

then $\text{gr}_\bullet^F M$ is a graded $\text{gr}_\bullet^F A_n$ -module (check this)

Definition: $F \cdot M$ is called a good filtration if $\text{gr}_\bullet^F M$ is a finitely generated $\text{gr}_\bullet^F A_n$ -module.

Prop: $F \cdot M$ is good $\iff \exists N \in \mathbb{N}: \forall l \geq N, \forall k \in \mathbb{N}:$

$$F_k A_n \cdot F_l M = F_{k+l} M$$

Proof: " $\Leftarrow$ ": We have $M = A_n \cdot F_N M$, hence

$$\text{gr}^F M = \text{gr}^F A_n \cdot \sum_{i=0}^N \text{gr}_i^F M.$$

16

$F_i M$ is f.g. $F_0 A_n$ -module $\Rightarrow \text{gr}_i^F M$ is f.g. $\text{gr}_0^F A_n$ -mod,

$\Rightarrow \text{gr}^F M$ is f.g. $\text{gr}^F A_n$ -mod.

" $\Rightarrow$ ": suffices $\exists N: F_k A_n \cdot F_N M \supset F_{k+N} M \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$

(the statement follows then directly)

By assumption, $\text{gr}^F M$ has homogeneous system of generators as $\text{gr}^F A_n$ -module, let $N := \max(\deg \text{of gen's})$

$$\Rightarrow \forall k \in \mathbb{N}: \text{gr}_{k+N}^F M = \sum_{i=0}^N \text{gr}_{k+N-i}^F A_n \cdot \text{gr}_i^F M$$

$$\Rightarrow F_{k+N} M = F_{k+N-1} M + \sum_{i=0}^N \underbrace{F_{k+N-i} A_n \cdot F_i M}_{F_k A_n \cdot F_{N-i} A_n}$$

$$= F_{k+N-1} M + F_k A_n \cdot \sum_{i=0}^N F_{N-i} A_n \cdot F_i M \subset F_{k+N-1} M + F_k A_n \cdot F_N M$$

Then we obtain $F_{k+N} M \subset F_k A_n \cdot F_N M$ by

induction on k

□

Corollary: M left A_n -mod. Then:

17

M has good filtration $\Leftrightarrow M$ is f.g. over A_n

Pf: exercise

Corollary: Let M left A_n -mod with good filtration $F.M$, let $G.M$ be a filtration compatible with $(A_n, F.A_n)$. Then $\exists N' \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$F_k M \subseteq G_{k+N'} M \quad \forall k \geq 0$$

Proof: Take $N \in \mathbb{N}$ with $F_k A_n \cdot F_N M = F_{k+N} M \quad \forall k \geq 0$

we have $F_N M = \sum_{i=1}^r F_0 A_n v_i$ and $M = \bigcup_e G_e M$. Hence

$$\exists N': v_1, \dots, v_r \in G_{N'} M \Rightarrow F_N M \subset G_{N'} M$$

Then $\forall k: F_k M \subseteq F_{k+N} M = F_k A_n \cdot F_N M \subset$

$$F_k A_n \cdot G_{N'} M \subset G_{k+N'} M$$

□

In particular, if $F.M$ & $G.M$ are good $\Rightarrow$

$$\exists N', N'': G_{k-N''} M \subset F_k M \subset G_{k+N'} M$$

Proposition: M f.g. left A_n -mod.

$$M' \subset M \quad A_n\text{-submodule} \Rightarrow M' \text{ f.g.}$$

in particular: A_n is left (and right) noetherian.

Proof: M f.g. $\Rightarrow \exists$ good filtration $F.M$

Put $F_k M' := F_k M \cap M'$, then

$$(a) \quad F_e A_n \cdot F_k M' \subset F_{k+e} M'$$

(b) $F_k M'$ is f.g. $F_0 A_n$ -mod (since $F_0 A_n = R$ which is noetherian and $F_k M' \subset F_k M$ which is f.g. over R) $\Rightarrow F.M'$ is compatible filtration

Moreover: $\text{gr.}^F M' \subset \text{gr.}^F M$ is $\text{gr.}^F A_n$ -submodule

($\text{gr.}^F A_n$ noetherian, $F.M$ good, hence $\text{gr.}^F M$ f.g.) $\Rightarrow \text{gr.}^F M'$ f.g.

hence $F.M'$ is good $\xRightarrow[\text{above}]{\text{gr.}}$ M' is f.g. over A_n $\square$

recall $A_n = k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \langle \partial_1, \dots, \partial_n \rangle$ Weyl algebra

$$F_h^{\text{ord}} A_n = \{ P \in A_n \mid \text{ord}(P) \leq h \}$$

$$F_h^B A_n = \left\{ \sum c_{\alpha\beta} x^\alpha \partial^\beta \in A_n \mid |\alpha| + |\beta| \leq h \right\}$$

in this lecture: $F \cdot A_n := F^B \cdot A_n$

Let M be f.g. left A_n -module and choose

good filtration $F \cdot M$ (compatible with $F \cdot A_n = F^B \cdot A_n$).

$$\Rightarrow \dim_k F_h M < \infty \quad \forall h$$

Consider function

$$j \longmapsto \dim_k F_j M = \sum_{i=0}^j \underbrace{\dim \text{gr}_i^F M}_{F_i M / F_{i-1} M} \quad \dim F_j M - \dim F_{j-1} M$$

Examples. 1.) $M = A_n$, $F \cdot M = F \cdot A_n$

20

$$\Rightarrow \dim \operatorname{gr}_k^F M = \dim K[x, D]_k = \binom{2n+k-1}{2n-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow \dim F_k M = \binom{2n+k}{2n}$$

$$= \frac{(2n+k)(2n+k-1) \cdots (k+1)}{(2n)!} \in \mathbb{Q}[k]_{\leq 2n} \quad k \geq 0$$

2.) $M = K[x_1, \dots, x_n] = A_n / A_n(d_1, \dots, d_n)$

$$F_k M = \{f \in M \mid \deg_x f \leq k\}$$

$$\rightarrow \dim F_k M = \binom{n+k}{n} \in \mathbb{Q}[k]_{\leq n} \quad \forall k \geq 0$$

3.) $M = K[\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n] = A_n / A_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$

$$\rightarrow \dim F_k M = \binom{n+k}{n} \in \mathbb{Q}[k]_{\leq n} \quad \forall k \geq 0$$

4.) $M = K[x, x^{-1}] = A_n \cdot x^{-1}$

$$\text{put: } F_k M = F_k A_n \cdot x^{-1} = \bigoplus_{j=-k-1}^{k-1} K \cdot x^j$$

$$\dim_K F_k M = 2k+1$$