

Recall: $A_n = K[x_1, \dots, x_n] \langle \partial_1, \dots, \partial_n \rangle$ Weyl alg.

filtrations $F \cdot A_n$, e.g.: $F^{\text{ord}} A_n$, $F^B A_n$ ($\Rightarrow \text{gr}^F A_n = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ comm.)

M f.g. left A_n -module ($\Leftrightarrow$ system of lin. hom. PDE's)

$F \cdot M$ good filtration ($\Leftrightarrow$ 1.) compatible with $F \cdot A_n$

2.) $\text{gr}^F M$ is f.g. $F \cdot A_n$ -module

M f.g. A_n -mod. $\Leftrightarrow \exists$ good filtr. $F \cdot M$

$F \cdot M, G \cdot M$ good $\Rightarrow \exists N', N'' : F_{k-N'} M \subset G_{k/2} M \subset F_{k+N''} M$

special case $F \cdot A_n := F^B A_n$ ($\Rightarrow \dim_K F_k A_n < \infty \forall k$)

If $F \cdot M$ is good filtration (hence, compatible with $F \cdot A_n = F^B A_n$), then

also $\dim_K F_k M < \infty$. Then we

have the following

2

Proposition: $\exists \chi^{(M, F, M)} \in \mathbb{Q}[t]_{\leq 2n}$

s.t. $\dim_k F_k M = \chi^{(M, F, M)}(k) \quad \forall k \gg 0$.

Proof: Use that $S := \text{gr}^F A_n \simeq k[x, D]$ is commutative and that $\text{gr}^F M$ is a graded

S -module. Then we have:

Hilbert's syzygy theorem: $\exists$ resolution of $\text{gr}^F M$ of length $2n$ by graded free S -modules:

$$0 \rightarrow E_{2n} \rightarrow E_{2n-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow \text{gr}^F M \rightarrow 0$$

where $E_p = \bigoplus_{\substack{q \geq 0 \\ \text{finite}}} S(-q)^{b_{p,q}}$ with $S(-q)_i = S_{i-q}$

recall that $\dim_k S_i = \binom{2n+i-1}{2n-1}$

$$\Rightarrow \dim_K \operatorname{gr}_k^F M = \sum_{p=0}^{2n} (-1)^p \sum_q \theta_{p,q} \cdot \binom{2n+k-q-1}{2n-1} \quad \boxed{3}$$

$$\Rightarrow \dim F_j M = \sum_{k=0}^j \underbrace{\quad}$$

$$= \sum_{p=0}^{2n} (-1)^p \sum_q \theta_{p,q} \underbrace{\sum_{k=0}^j \binom{2n+k-q-1}{2n-1}}_{\binom{2n+j-q}{2n}} \in \mathbb{Q}[j]_{2n}$$

$$\in \mathbb{Q}[j]_{\leq 2n} \quad \forall k \gg 0 \quad \square$$

Let $M \neq 0$, put $d := \deg \gamma^{(M, F, M)} \geq 0$

ex: $\gamma^{(M, F, M)}(t) = \frac{m}{d!} \cdot t^d + \dots$

4

Lemma: d and m depend only on M , not on choice of F.M.

Proof: Let F.M, G.M be good filtrations compatible with $F.A_n = F^B A_n$, then $\exists k \geq 0$

$$F_{j-k} M \subseteq G_j M \subseteq F_{j+k} M \quad \forall j \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \dim_k F_{j-k} M \leq \dim_k G_j M \leq \dim_k F_{j+k} M$$

$$\Rightarrow \chi^{(M, F.M)}(j-k) \leq \chi^{(M, G.M)}(j) \leq \chi^{(M, F.M)}(j+k)$$

$\forall j \gg 0$. The 3 polynomials

$$\chi^{(M, F.M)}(t-k), \chi^{(M, F.M)}(t), \chi^{(M, F.M)}(t+k)$$

have the same leading term $\frac{m}{d!} t^d$

$\Rightarrow$ hence $\chi^{(M, G.M)}(t)$ has l.t. $\frac{m}{d!} t^d$ $\square$

Def: d is called the dimension of M ⁵
 m ——— multiplicity of M

$$\text{If } M \neq 0 \Rightarrow d(M) \geq 0 \text{ \& } m \geq 1$$

examples: - $M = A_n \Rightarrow d = 2n, m = 1$

- $M = k[\geq] \text{ or } M = k[\leq] \Rightarrow d = n, m = 1$

- $M = k[x, x^{-1}] \Rightarrow d = 1, m = 2$

need to study behavior of d, m for sub-/quotient mod's:

If $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$ is short exact sequence of A_n -modules, and if

$F_\bullet M$ is any filtration, then put $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$F_k M' := F_k M \cap M' \text{ and } F_k M'' := \text{Im}(F_k M) \text{ in } M''$$

ex: $\forall k$, we have short exact sequences of A_n - resp. of $\text{gr}^F A_n$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow F_k M' \rightarrow F_k M \rightarrow F_k M'' \rightarrow 0$$

$$\text{resp. } 0 \rightarrow \text{gr}_k^F M' \rightarrow \text{gr}_k^F M \rightarrow \text{gr}_k^F M'' \rightarrow 0$$

Prop: Let M be f.g. over A_n , and

let $F.M$ be good (always w.r.t. FB_{A_n}),

then both $F.M'$, $F.M''$ are good and

$$1.) \chi^{(M, F.M)}(t) = \chi^{(M', F.M')}(t) + \chi^{(M'', F.M'')}(t)$$

$$2.) d(M) = \max\{d(M'), d(M'')\}$$

$$3.) \text{ If } d(M') = d(M'') \Rightarrow m(M) = m(M') + m(M'')$$

Proof: $F.M$ good $\Rightarrow \text{gr}^F M$ is f.g. over $\text{gr}^F A_n = K[x, D]$

$\xrightarrow[\text{noetherian}]{K[x, D]}$ $\text{gr}_0^F M', \text{gr}_0^F M''$ f.g. (i.e. $F.M', F.M''$ good)

[7]

$$\Rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \text{gr}_k^F M' \rightarrow \text{gr}_k^F M \rightarrow \text{gr}_k^F M'' \rightarrow 0$$

is short exact sequence of f.g. $\text{gr}_k^F A_n$ -mod.

$$\Rightarrow \dim_k \text{gr}_k^F M = \dim_k \text{gr}_k^F M' + \dim_k \text{gr}_k^F M'' \quad \forall k$$

$$\Rightarrow \dim_k F_k M = \dim_k F_k M' + \dim_k F_k M'' \quad \forall k$$

$$\Rightarrow \gamma^{(M, F, M)}(t) = \gamma^{(M', F, M')}(t) + \gamma^{(M'', F, M'')}(t)$$

□

Example: $M = k[x, x^{-1}]$, then $\exists$ short exact seq. of A_1 -mod

$$0 \rightarrow k[x] \rightarrow k[x, x^{-1}] \rightarrow k[x]/k[x, x^{-1}] \rightarrow 0$$

notice: $k[x, x^{-1}]/k[x]$ is generated over A_1 by x^{-1} ,

but we also have $x \cdot x^{-1} = 0$ in M , hence:

$k[x, x^{-1}]/k[x] \simeq A_1/A_1 \cdot x$. We have seen

$$d(k[x]) = d(A_1/A_1 x) = m(k[x]) = m(A_1/A_1 x) = 1$$

hence $d(K[x, x^{-1}]) = 1$ & $m(K[x, x^{-1}]) = 2$

18

as can be directly calculated (done last time)

Theorem (Bernstein's inequality)

Let $M \neq 0$ be a f.g. A_n -module, then

$$d(M) \geq n$$

For the proof, we can fix good filtration $F_\bullet M$ (since $d(M)$ does not depend on $\mathcal{F}$), and by shifting we assume $F_0 M \neq 0$.

Lemma 3.6.: $\forall j \in \mathbb{N}$, the map

$$F_j^B A_n \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_K(F_j M, F_{2j} M)$$

$$P \longmapsto (m \longmapsto P \cdot m)$$

is injective

Proof of the lemma: induction on j : 9

$$\underline{j=0}: F_0 A_n = K, F_0 M \neq 0 \leadsto K \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_K(F_0 M, F_0 M)$$

$$\underline{j>0}: \text{suppose } \exists P \in F_j A_n \cap \ker(F_j M \rightarrow F_{2j} M)$$

$$\Rightarrow P \text{ non-constant (since } F_j M \neq 0)$$

$$\leadsto P \text{ contains some } x_i \text{ or some } \partial_i$$

$$\text{supp. it contains } x_i \Rightarrow [P, \partial_i] \neq 0$$

$$\text{clearly } [P, \partial_i] \in F_{j-1} A_n \text{ and } \forall m \neq 0 \in F_{j-1} M:$$

$$[P, \partial_i]m = P(\partial_i m) - \partial_i P(m) = 0 \text{ since } m, \partial_i m \in F_j M$$

$$\nmid \text{ind. hyp: } \forall Q \in F_{j-1} A_n, Qm \neq 0 \forall m \in F_{j-1} M \setminus \{0\}.$$

If P contains ∂_i , use same argument with

$$[P, x_i] \text{ instead}$$



Proof of Theorem: By the lemma:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \dim_K F_j^B A_n \leq \dim_K \operatorname{Hom}_K(F_j M, F_{2j} M) = \\
 \parallel \quad \dim_K F_j M \cdot \dim_K F_{2j} M \\
 \parallel \quad \chi^{(M, F \cdot M)}(j) - \chi^{(M, F \cdot M)}(2j) \\
 \Rightarrow \forall j \geq 0: \binom{j+2n}{2n} \leq \chi^{(M, F \cdot M)}(j) - \chi^{(M, F \cdot M)}(2j) \\
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 \downarrow j \gg 0 & \downarrow j \gg 0 & \downarrow j \gg 0 \\
 \in \mathbb{Q}[j]_{2n} & \in \mathbb{Q}[j]_d & \in \mathbb{Q}[j]_d
 \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

$$\Rightarrow 2n \leq 2d \Rightarrow n \leq d$$

□

Definition: Let M be a f.g. A_n -module. Then if either $M=0$ or $d(M)=n$, M is called a holonomic A_n -module.

Corollary: If $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$ 11
 is short exact sequence of A_m -modules. Then
 M is holonomic $\iff M'$ and M'' are holonomic.

Proof: exercise

Corollary: M holonomic $\Rightarrow M$ is noetherian
 + artinian, i.e. every descending sequence:

$M = M_0 \supseteq M_1 \supseteq \dots$ is stationary.

Moreover, $\exists$ finite sequence $M = M_0 \supset M_1 \supset \dots \supset M_e$
 where each M_i / M_{i+1} is simple

Sketch of proof: Let $\{0\} = N_0 \subsetneq N_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq N_k = M$

be any increasing sequence. M hol. $\Rightarrow N_i$ hol.

(last corollary), hence $d(N_i) = n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} m(M) &= m(N_1) + m(M/N_1) = m(N_1) + m(N_2/N_1) + m\left(\frac{M}{N_2}\right) \\ &= \dots = m(N_1) + m(N_2/N_1) + m(N_3/N_2) + \dots + m(N_k/N_{k-1}) \end{aligned}$$

Since $m(N_i/N_{i-1}) > 1 \Rightarrow m(M) \geq k$.

Hence, any strictly decreasing sequence has length $\leq m(M) \Rightarrow M$ is artinian.

2nd statement: exercise

also: give example for infinite sequence for non-holonomic M

important example for holonomic modules: 

Prop. Let $0 \neq p \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, then

$M := K[x_1, \dots, x_n][p^{-1}]$ has structure of holonomic left A_n -module.

notice that a priori, we don't even know that M is finitely generated over A_n