

Reminder from last time:

R (possibly non-commutative) ring, F.R., $1 \in F_0 R$

$F_e R \cdot F_e R \subset F_{e+e} R$, $S = \text{gr}^F R$ comm, reg, noeth,
 $\dim S = 2n$

M f.g left R -mod $\rightarrow$ choose good filtration $F \cdot M$

$$\text{char}(M) = V(\sqrt{\text{ann}_S(\text{gr}^F M)}) \subset \text{Spec}(S),$$

$d(M) := \dim \text{char}(M)$ (does not depend on choice
of $F \cdot M$, but a priori on
choice of F.R.)

$$j(M) = \min_R \{ \text{Ext}_R^i(M, R) \neq 0 \}$$

$$\text{Then } j(M) + d(M) = 2n$$

Consequence $d(M)$ can be computed with
any choice of F.R., in particular, for

$$R = A_n, F = \begin{cases} F_{\text{ord}} \\ F_B \end{cases} \quad d^{\deg}(M) = d^{\text{Bernstein}}(M)$$

we have shown: If $R=S$ is commutative (2)

then $d(M) + j(M) = 2n$ holds

where $d(M) = \dim(S/\text{ann}_S M)$

$$j(M) = \min_k \{ \text{Ext}_S^k(M, S) \neq 0 \}$$

Now let R be arbitrary, $F.R$ as above, M left R -mod
 $F.M$ good filtration, then since by definition

$d(M) = d(\text{gr}_\bullet^F M)$, it remains to show

$j(M) = j(\text{gr}_\bullet^F M)$, hence, to study relation

between $\text{Ext}_R^k(M, R)$ and $\text{Ext}_S^k(\text{gr}_\bullet^F M, S)$

Preliminary considerations:

Prop: $\exists$ resolution of M by free R -mod's

$$\cdots \rightarrow L_2 \rightarrow L_1 \rightarrow L_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

where each L_i carries good filtration $F_\bullet L_i$, (3)

where $d^i: F_k L_i \rightarrow F_k L_{i-1}$ and where:

1.) $\text{gr}^F L_i$ is S -free with $\text{rk}_S(\text{gr}^F L_i) = \text{rk}_k L_i$

2.) the complex of S -modules

$$\dots \rightarrow \text{gr}^F L_2 \rightarrow \text{gr}^F L_1 \rightarrow \text{gr}^F L_0 \rightarrow \text{gr}^F M \rightarrow 0$$

is exact

Proof: for $e \in \mathbb{Z}$, put $R(e) := R$ with

filtration $F_k R(e) := F_{k+e} R$. Now we have

$$\text{gr}^F M = \sum_{j=1}^r S[m_j] \text{ with } [m_j] \in \text{gr}_{e_j}^F M, \text{ i.e.}$$

$$\text{gr}_k^F M = \sum_{j=1}^r S_{k-e_j}[m_j] \Rightarrow F_k M = \sum_{j=1}^r F_{k-e_j} R \cdot m_j$$

$$\text{Put } L_0 := \bigoplus_{j=1}^r R(-e_j) \text{ then } L_0 \twoheadrightarrow M$$

s.t. $\text{gr}^F L_0 \twoheadrightarrow \text{gr}^F M$. Put $M' := \ker(L_0 \twoheadrightarrow M)$, 4

$$\Rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \text{gr}^F M' \rightarrow \text{gr}^F L \rightarrow \text{gr}^F M \rightarrow 0$$

$\xRightarrow{\text{Snoeky}}$ $\text{gr}^F M'$ is f.g. over $S \Rightarrow F_\bullet M'$ good filtration

apply same argument to $(M', F_\bullet M')$ to construct

$$L_1 \twoheadrightarrow M', \text{ i.e. } L_1 \rightarrow L_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

etc. (thi stops after $\leq \dim M = 2n$ steps) $\square$

given free resolution

$$\dots \rightarrow L_1 \rightarrow L_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

as above, put $L_i^* := \text{Hom}_R(L_i, R)$ (right

$$R\text{-module}) \rightsquigarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(M, R) = H^i(0 \rightarrow L_0^* \rightarrow L_1^* \rightarrow \dots)$$

Def: Let $(L, F_\bullet L)$ be f.g. R -mod with good f.ltr.

$$\text{Put } F_k L^* := \{ \phi \in L^* \mid \phi(F_i L) \subset F_{j-i} R \quad \forall j \geq 0 \}$$

Lemma: L^* is f.g. over R , and $F_\bullet L^*$ is 5
a good filtration

Proof: exercise, use $\text{gr}_\bullet^F L^* \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_S(\text{gr}_\bullet^F L, S)$
for any f.g. R -module M

actually, for L free R -mod, we have

$$\text{gr}_\bullet^F L^* \simeq \text{Hom}_S(\text{gr}_\bullet^F L, S)$$

and so

$$\text{Ext}_R^h(M, R) = H^h \left[0 \rightarrow L_0^* \rightarrow L_1^* \rightarrow \dots \right]$$

$$\text{Ext}_S^h(\text{gr}_\bullet^F M, R) = H^h \left[0 \rightarrow \text{gr}_\bullet^F L_0^* \rightarrow \text{gr}_\bullet^F L_1^* \rightarrow \dots \right]$$

hence, need:

$$H^h \left[0 \rightarrow L_0^* \rightarrow L_1^* \rightarrow \dots \right] = 0 \iff H^h \left[0 \rightarrow \text{gr}_\bullet^F L_0^* \rightarrow \text{gr}_\bullet^F L_1^* \rightarrow \dots \right] = 0$$

Tool: Spectral sequence of filtered complex

6

let complex $\dots \rightarrow K^{n-1} \xrightarrow{d} K^n \xrightarrow{d} K^{n+1} \rightarrow \dots$

with filtration $F_\bullet K^\bullet$ be given (i.e.

$d(F_k K^i) \subset F_k K^{i+1}$), then $\exists$ spectral

sequence: $E_0^{p,q} := \text{gr}_p^F K^{p+q}; E_1^{p,q} := H^{p+q}(\text{gr}_p^F K^\bullet)$

$$\Rightarrow E_\infty^{p,q} := \text{gr}_p^F H^{p+q}(K^\bullet)$$

notice:

$$H^{p+q}(\text{gr}_p^F K^\bullet) \simeq \frac{F_p K^{p+q} \cap d^{-1}(F_{p-1} K^{p+q-1})}{F_{p-1} K^{p+q} + d(F_p K^{p+q-1})}$$

and

$$\text{gr}_p^F H^{p+q}(K^\bullet) \simeq \frac{F_p K^{p+q} \cap \ker d}{F_{p-1} K^{p+q} \cap \ker d + F_p K^{p+q} \cap d(K^{p+q-1})}$$

in general $\neq$

apply to case where $K^n := L_n^*$, dual of (7)
 free resolution $\rightarrow L_1 \rightarrow L_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ for
 (M, F, M) well-filtered left R -mod. We have
 induced good filtration $F_\bullet L_n^*$, and
 we consider spectral sequence of filtered
 complex $(L_\bullet^*, F_\bullet)$. Then

Prop: (a) $\forall n: \exists p_0: F_p L_{n+1}^* \cap d(L_n^*) = F_p L_{n+1}^* \cap d(F_{p+p_0} L_n^*)$

(b) $E_k^{p,q}$ converges to $E_\infty^{p,q}$ (i.e. $\exists p_0: E_{p_0}^{p,q} = E_\infty^{p,q}$)

(c) $j(M) = j(\text{gr}^F M) =: j_0$

(d) $d(\underbrace{\text{Ext}^j(M, R)}_{\text{right } R\text{-mod}}) \leq 2n - j \quad \forall j \geq 0$

(e) $d(\text{Ext}^{j(M)}(M, R)) = 2n - j(M)$

(f) $j(M) + d(M) = 2n$

Proof (some ideas):

18

$$(a) \text{ z.z: } F_p L_{n+1}^* \cap \hat{\mathcal{D}}(L_n^*) \subset F_p L_{n+1}^* \cap \hat{\mathcal{D}}(F_{p+p_0} L_n^*)$$

$\hat{\mathcal{D}} L_n^* \subset L_{n+1}^*$ submodule with good filtration

$$G_\bullet \hat{\mathcal{D}} L_n^* := \hat{\mathcal{D}} L_n^* \cap F_\bullet L_{n+1}^*$$

$$G'_\bullet \hat{\mathcal{D}} L_n^* := \hat{\mathcal{D}}(F_\bullet L_n^*)$$

$$\exists p_0: G_p \hat{\mathcal{D}} L_n^* \subset G'_{p+p_0} \hat{\mathcal{D}} L_n^*$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{D}} L_n^* \cap F_p L_{n+1}^* \subset \hat{\mathcal{D}}(F_{p+p_0} L_n^*) \mid \cap F_p L_{n+1}^*$$

$$F_p L_{n+1}^* \cap \hat{\mathcal{D}} L_n^* \subset F_p L_{n+1}^* \cap \hat{\mathcal{D}}(F_{p+p_0} L_n^*)$$

(b) general convergence criterion spectral sequences of filtered complexes, using (a)

(c) By definition: $\text{Ext}_S^j(\text{gr}^F M, S) = 0 \quad \forall j < j_0$

$$\text{but } \text{Ext}_S^j(\text{gr}^F M, S) \simeq H^j(\text{gr}_\bullet^F L_\bullet^*) =$$

$$\bigoplus_p H^{p+(j-p)}(\operatorname{gr}_p^F L_\bullet^*) \simeq \bigoplus_p E_1^{p, j-p}$$

9

$$\Rightarrow E_k^{p, j-p} = 0 \quad \forall j < j_0 (= j(\operatorname{gr}^F M)), \quad \forall k \geq 1$$

$$\text{since } E_k^{p, j-p} = E_\infty^{p, j-p} \quad \forall k \gg 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \operatorname{gr}^F \cdot \operatorname{Ext}_R^{j'}(M, R) = 0 \quad \forall j' < \overbrace{j(\operatorname{gr}^F M)}^{= j_0}$$

$$\Rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_R^{j'}(M, R) = 0 \quad \forall j' < j_0$$

$$\Rightarrow j(M) \geq j_0$$

recall from last time that $d(\operatorname{Ext}_S^j(\operatorname{gr}^F M, S)) \leq 2n-j$
 $\forall j \geq 0$ where $d(-) := \dim(\operatorname{supp}(-))$

$$\Rightarrow d(E_1^{p, j-p}) \leq 2n-j \quad \forall j \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \underbrace{d(\operatorname{gr}^F \operatorname{Ext}_R^{j'}(M, R))}_{!! \text{ by def}} \leq 2n-j'$$

$$d(\operatorname{Ext}_R^{j'}(M, R)) \leq 2n-j' \quad (d)$$

by definition, $\text{Ext}_S^{j_0-1}(\text{gr}^F M, S) = 0 \Rightarrow E_1^{p, j_0-p-1} = 0 \quad \forall p$ 10
 $\Rightarrow E_2^{p, j_0-p} = \ker(\mathcal{J}: E_1^{p, j_0-p} \rightarrow E_1^{p, j_0+1-p})$

but we also have:

- $d(\text{Ext}_S^{j_0+1}(\text{gr}^F M, S)) \leq 2n - j_0 - 1 \Rightarrow d(E^{p, j_0+1-p}) \leq 2n - j_0 - 1$

- $d(\text{Ext}_S^{j_0}(\text{gr}^F M, S)) = 2n - j_0 \Rightarrow d(E^{p, j_0-p}) = 2n - j_0$

Hence: $d(E_2^{p, j_0-p}) = 2n - j_0$

by induction $d(E_k^{p, j_0-p}) = 2n - j_0$

$\Rightarrow d(E_\infty^{p, j_0-p}) = 2n - j_0 \Rightarrow d(\text{Ext}_R^{j_0}(M, R)) = 2n - j_0$,

hence $\text{Ext}_R^{j_0}(M, R) \neq 0$, and therefore

$j(M) \leq j_0 = j(\text{gr}^F M) \Rightarrow j(M) = j(\text{gr}^F M) \subseteq$

since we had shown last time $j_0 + d(M) = 2n$
 $\quad \quad \quad d(\text{gr}^F M)$

it follows that $j(M) + d(M) = 2n$ □