

Characteristic varieties of D_X -modules

11

recall from last time: X alg. variety/ k , we have that D_X which is $\mathcal{O}_X$ -quasi-coherent with $\mathcal{O}_X$ -coherent filtration $F_\bullet D_X$.
A left D_X -module M is a quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module M together with left action $D_X \times M \rightarrow M$.

$$(\Leftrightarrow \nabla: M \rightarrow \Omega_X^1 \otimes M, \text{ flat connection operator})$$

examples: - $M = \mathcal{O}_X$

- (E, ∇) vector bundle with connection $\leadsto E$ left D_X -mod.

- basic example for right D_X -module: $M := \omega_X := \wedge^{\dim X} \Omega_{X/k}$

Consider Lie derivative on ω_X : For $U \subset X$, $v \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X)$,

$\omega \in \Gamma(U, \omega_X)$, and $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X)$, we put

$$(\text{Lie}_v \omega)(v_1, \dots, v_n) := v[\omega(v_1, \dots, v_n)] - \sum_{i=1}^n \omega(v_1, \dots, [v, v_i], \dots, v_n)$$

Exercise: 1.) $\text{Lie}_v(f\omega) = v(f) \cdot \omega + f \text{Lie}_v(\omega) = \text{Lie}_{(f\theta)}(\omega)$

$$2.) \text{Lie}_{[v, y]}(\omega) = [\text{Lie}_v, \text{Lie}_y](\omega)$$

(2)

notice: 2.) is similar to flatness of a connection

but 1.) shows that Lie is not a connection

(since we would need to have $Lie_{f\omega} = f Lie_{\omega}$)

Therefore, put: $\omega_x \times \theta_x \rightarrow \omega_x$

$$(\omega, v) \mapsto -Lie_v(\omega) = \omega \cdot v$$

and consider ω_x also as right $\mathcal{D}_x$ -module $\Rightarrow$

$$\omega \cdot \overbrace{v(f)}^{\in \mathcal{D}_x} \stackrel{1.)}{=} Lie_v(\omega \cdot f) - Lie_v(\omega) \cdot f$$

$$= (\omega \cdot v) \cdot f - (\omega f) \cdot v$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (\omega f) \cdot v = (\omega \cdot v) f - \omega \cdot v(f)$$

$$\omega \cdot [v, y] = -Lie_{[v, y]} \omega = Lie_y(Lie_v \omega) - Lie_v(Lie_y \omega)$$

$$= \omega \cdot y \cdot v - \omega \cdot v \cdot y$$

This defines right $\mathcal{D}_x$ -structure on ω_x .

later: formulas for left/right transformations

Good filtrations / characteristic varieties:

(3)

very similarly to case of modules over $R/A_n(h)$:

M left $\mathcal{D}_X$ -module, $F_j M$ filtration by $\mathcal{D}_X$ -coherent submodules s.t. $F_k \mathcal{D}_X \cdot F_j M \subseteq F_{j+k} M$, $M = \bigcup_j F_j M$

$F_\bullet M$ is called good $\Leftrightarrow \text{gr}^\bullet F_\bullet M$ is locally finitely generated over $\text{gr}^\bullet \mathcal{D}_X$

th: already shown: $\forall U \subset X$, $D(A) := \Gamma(U, \mathcal{D}_X)$, then

$M := \Gamma(U, M)$ is $D(A)$ -f.g. $\Leftrightarrow \exists$ good filtr. $F_\bullet M$

$$\Leftrightarrow F_k D(A) \cdot F_j M \stackrel{!}{=} F_{j+k} M \\ \forall k, \forall j \gg 0$$

Def: M is called $\mathcal{D}_X$ -coherent $\Leftrightarrow \forall U \subset X$

$M = \Gamma(U, M)$ is $D(A) := \Gamma(U, \mathcal{D}_X)$ finitely generated

Rk: M $\mathcal{D}_X$ -coherent $\not\Rightarrow M$ $\mathcal{D}_X$ -coherent!

Let $F \cdot M$ be good filtration $\leadsto \text{gr}^F M$

4

is (locally f.g.) sheaf of $\text{gr}^F D_X \cong \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{T^*X}$ -algebras

Since π is affine, this corresponds to

coherent $\mathcal{O}_{T^*X}$ -module, denoted by $\widetilde{\text{gr}^F M}$.

Def.: Let M be a coherent D_X -module, equipped with good filtration $F \cdot M$, then the characteristic variety $\text{char}(M)$ is defined as $\text{supp}(\widetilde{\text{gr}^F M})$, alternatively, $\text{char}(M) = V\left(\sqrt{\text{ann}_{\mathcal{O}_{T^*X}} \widetilde{\text{gr}^F M}}\right)$.

Rk.: as in local A_n -case, one proves that

$\text{char}(M)$ does not depend on $F \cdot M$!

ex.: $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$ VB with connection ($\leadsto \mathcal{E}$ is left D_X -mod)

in particular: $\mathcal{E}$ is D_X -coherent. Put $F_j \mathcal{E} := \begin{cases} 0 & j < 0 \\ \mathcal{E} & j \geq 0 \end{cases}$

$\Rightarrow F$ is good and $\text{ann}_{\text{gr}^F D_X} \text{gr}^F \mathcal{E} = \bigoplus_{j \geq 1} \text{gr}_j^F D_X$

$\Rightarrow \text{char}(\mathcal{E}) = T_X^* X = \text{zero section of } \pi$

Converse result:

5

Proposition: M $\mathcal{O}_X$ -coherent. If M is $\mathcal{O}_X$ -coherent, then M is $\mathcal{O}_X$ -locally free (i.e. M is/ corresponds to a vector bundle with flat connection)

Proof: by definition, M is $\mathcal{O}_X$ -quasi-coherent.

Hence, it suffices to show: $\forall x \in X$: M_x is free

$\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ -module of finite rank. Hence, show the following

Claim: Let $A (= \mathcal{O}_{X,x})$ regular local ring, $k \hookrightarrow A \xrightarrow{\sim} A/\mathfrak{m}_A$

and M left $D(A)$ -module, which is f.g. over A

Then M is A -free of finite rank.

Proof of claim: Take $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathfrak{m}_A$ s.t. $\mathfrak{m}_A/\mathfrak{m}_A^2 = \bigoplus k[x_i]$

and consider coordinate vector fields $\partial_{x_1}, \dots, \partial_{x_n} \in \text{Der}_k(A)$

for $f \in A \setminus \{0\}$, put $\text{ord}(f) := \max \{l \mid f \in \mathfrak{m}_A^l\}$, $\text{ord}(0) = \infty$

Take generators $m_1, \dots, m_r$ of M s.t. $[m_1], \dots, [m_r]$ are basis of $M/m_A M$. Suppose $\exists$ relation $(f_1, \dots, f_r) \in A^r$ with $\sum_{i=1}^r f_i m_i = 0$, then $f_i \in m \forall i$ (since $([m_i])_i$ lin. ind.)

Hence $\ell := \min \{ \text{ord}(f_1), \dots, \text{ord}(f_r) \} \geq 1$. We have

$$0 = \sum_j \left(\sum_{i=1}^r f_i \cdot m_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_j \partial_j(f_i) \cdot m_i + \sum_{i=1}^r f_i \cdot \left(\sum_j \partial_j m_i \right)$$

$$\forall j: \sum_i \partial_j m_i = \sum_{k=1}^r \underbrace{a_{i,j,k}}_{\partial_j m_k} m_k \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^r \left(\partial_j f_i + \sum_{k=1}^r a_{i,k,j} f_k \right) m_i = 0$$

Take j s.t. $\text{ord}(f_j) = \ell$, then take i s.t. $\text{ord}(\partial_j f_i) = \ell - 1$

$$\Rightarrow \text{ord} \left[\left(\partial_j f_i + \sum_{k=1}^r a_{i,k,j} f_k \right) \right] = \ell - 1$$

Inductively, one gets relation of order $= 0$

$\nexists [m_1], \dots, [m_r]$ lin. independent in $M/m_A M$ $\square$

Clearly, good filtration exists locally on coherent $\mathbb{A}^1$
 D_X -modules (by definition, and since $\exists F.M$ good $\Leftrightarrow$
 M locally f.g.). However, we also have:

Lemma: Let M be D_X -coherent, then $\exists F.M$
 (global) good filtration by coherent D_X -modules

Proof: enough to construct one single D_X -coherent
 submodule $F \subseteq M$ that generates M as D_X -module.
 Then we can put $F_k M := F_k D \cdot F$ (check that
 each $F_k M$ is D_X -coherent).

X finite type/ $k \Rightarrow X$ quasi-compact

$\exists$ finite affine cover $U_1, \dots, U_m \subset X$

$\forall i: \Gamma(U_i, M)$ is $\Gamma(U_i, D_X)$ -f.g., say by $m_1^{(i)}, \dots, m_{k_i}^{(i)}$
 $\Rightarrow F_{U_i} := \sum_{j=1}^{k_i} D_{U_i} \cdot m_j^{(i)}$ generates $M|_{U_i}$ over D_{U_i}

Fact: X alg. variety $U \subset X$ open, $\mathcal{G}$ quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module. If $\exists$ coherent submodule $F_u \subset \mathcal{G}|_U$
 $\Rightarrow \exists$ coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$ -submodule $F \subset \mathcal{G}$ s.t. $F|_U = F_u$

in above situation: $\exists F_1, \dots, F_m$ $\mathcal{O}_X$ -coherent s.t.

$F_i|_{U_i} = F_{u_i}$. Consider morphism

$$\varphi: F_1 \oplus \dots \oplus F_m \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$$

$$(e_1, \dots, e_m) \longmapsto \sum e_i$$

and put $F := \text{im}(\varphi)$, then:

1.) F is $\mathcal{O}_X$ -coherent

2.) $\mathcal{O}_X \cdot F = \mathcal{M}$

□

next aim: discuss Lagrangian property of charact. variety

reminder from symplectic geometry:

1.) Symp. vector spaces: $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$, V K -vect.sp.

$\omega: V \otimes_K V \rightarrow K$ bilinear, anti-symmetric + non-degenerate

is called symplectic form

9

$$\leadsto \omega: V \rightarrow V^*, v \mapsto \omega(-, v)$$

$$\leadsto \forall \omega \in V^*: \exists! H_\omega \in V: \omega(v) = \omega(v, H_\omega) \quad \forall v \in V$$

$$L \subset V \Rightarrow L^\perp := \{v \in V \mid \omega(v, w) = 0 \quad \forall w \in L\}$$

$$\text{in part. : } w \in V \Rightarrow L_w := K \cdot w \subset V$$

$$\text{here we have } L_w \subset L_w^\perp \text{ since } \omega(w, w) = 0$$

$$\omega \text{ non-deg} \Rightarrow \dim L_w^\perp = \dim V - 1$$

Claim: $L_w^\perp / L_w$ is again symplectic, but

$$\dim L_w^\perp / L_w = \dim V - 2, \text{ hence by induction:}$$

$$\dim(V) = 2k \quad !$$

Def.: (V, ω) symplectic, $W \subset V$ is called

(1) involutive iff $W^\perp \subset W$ (then $\dim W \geq \frac{1}{2} \dim V$)
(co-isotropic)

2.) isotropic iff $W^\perp \subset W$ (then $\dim W \leq \frac{1}{2} \dim V$) 10

3.) Lagrangian iff $W^\perp = W$ (then $\dim W = \frac{1}{2} \dim V$)

Th: $W \subset V$ involutive, then W is Lagrangian iff $\dim W = \frac{1}{2} \dim V$

M non-sing. alg variety is called symplectic

$\exists \omega \in \Gamma(M, \Omega_{M/k}^2) \rightarrow$ s.t. $\forall x \in M$ closed,

$\omega_x|_{T_x M}$ is symplectic form, $d\omega = 0$

basic example: cotangent bundle: $\pi: T^*X \xrightarrow{=: M} X$

canonical projection.

1.) local description: $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ local coordinates on $U \subset X$

$\Rightarrow \Omega_{X/k}^1|_U \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \partial_{x_i} dx_i \leadsto (x_1, \dots, x_n, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$ local

coord. on $\pi^{-1}(U)$, then $\omega = \sum_{i=1}^n d\xi_i \wedge dx_i$

2.) global description: $\exists$ non-vanishing section s

of $\pi^* \Omega_{X/k}^1$ (since $\text{Tot}(\pi^* \Omega_{X/k}^1) = \Omega_{X/k}^1 \otimes_X \Omega_{X/k}^1$)

Consider cotangent sequence for π :

11

$$0 \rightarrow \pi^* \Omega_{X/K}^1 \xrightarrow{\varphi} \Omega_{T^*X/K}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{T^*X/X}^1 \rightarrow 0$$

But $\alpha := \varphi(\omega) \in \Gamma(T^*X, \Omega_{T^*X/K}^1)$ Liouville form

ex.: locally $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i dx_i$. Then $\omega := d\alpha$
($\Rightarrow d\omega = 0$)

Hamiltonian vector fields: ω_x induces isom

$$\omega_x: T_x M \xrightarrow{\hat{=}} T_x^* M \Leftrightarrow \sigma_x: \Theta_M \xrightarrow{\hat{=}} \Omega_M^1$$

$$f \in \Gamma(\mathcal{U}, \Theta_M) \Rightarrow H_f := \sigma_M^{-1}(df)$$

Poisson bracket: $f, g \in \Gamma(\mathcal{U}, \Theta_M)$

$$\{f, g\} := H_f(g) = dg(H_f) = \sigma(H_f, H_g) \in \Gamma(\mathcal{U}, \Theta_M)$$

ex.: for $M = T^*X$, locally

$$\{f, g\} = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi_i} \cdot \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \cdot \frac{\partial g}{\partial \xi_i} \right)$$

Def. (M, ω) symplectic, $Y \subset M$ reduced 12

(not necessarily smooth) is called

$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{involutive}/\omega\text{-isotropic} \\ \text{isotropic} \\ \text{Lagrangian} \end{array} \right\} \forall x \in Y_{\text{reg}} : T_x Y \subset T_x M \text{ is } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{involutive}/\omega\text{-isotropic} \\ \text{isotropic} \\ \text{Lagrangian} \end{array} \right.$

example: $M := T^*X$, take $Z \subset X$ reduced (possibly singular), consider $Z_{\text{reg}} \subset Z$.

Then $T_{Z_{\text{reg}}}^* X := \left\{ v \in T_x^* X \mid x \in Z_{\text{reg}}, v|_{T_x Z_{\text{reg}}} = 0 \right\}$ is bundle over Z_{reg} , called conormal bundle.

exercise: $T_{Z_{\text{reg}}}^* X \subset T^* X$ is smooth Lagrangian
in part., $\dim T_{Z_{\text{reg}}}^* X = \dim X$

Prop: $T_Z^* X := \overline{T_{Z_{\text{reg}}}^* X}$, then $T_Z^* X \subset T^* X$
is (possibly sing. Lagrangian)