

Introduction to V-filtrations

11

aim: If $M \in \text{Mod}_A(D_X)$, $K = DR^*(M) \in \text{Perv}(X)$,
 $t \in \mathcal{O}_X$ (smooth) $\Rightarrow \varphi_t^* K^*$, $\varphi_t K^* \in \text{Perv}(X_0)$,
where $X_0 = \{t=0\}$. Question: What is
 $DR^{-1}(\varphi_t^* K^*)$, $DR^{-1}(\varphi_t K^*)$?

Def.: Let $Y \subset X$ be a smooth hypersurface

$\mathcal{I}_Y = \mathcal{I}(Y) \subset \mathcal{O}_X$, put $\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$V_k D_X = \left\{ P \in D_X \mid P(\mathcal{I}_Y^j) \subset \mathcal{I}_Y^{j-k} \right\}$$

with $\mathcal{I}^0 = \mathcal{O}_X \quad \forall k \leq 0$

If $(t, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in X$ is local coord. system with

$$Y = \{t=0\} \Rightarrow P \in V_0 D_X \Leftrightarrow P = \sum a_{\underline{d}, j} \cdot \partial_{\underline{x}}^{\underline{d}} \cdot (t \partial_t)^j$$

with $a_{\underline{d}, j} \in \mathcal{O}_X$ and $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$P \in V_{-k} D_X: P = t^{-k} \cdot Q, \quad Q \in V_0 D_X$$

$$P \in V_h \mathcal{D}_X: P = \sum_{0 \leq l \leq h} Q_l \partial_t^l, \quad Q_l \in V_0 \mathcal{D}_X$$

(2)

Properties: - $V_h \mathcal{D}_X \cdot V_e \mathcal{D}_X \subset V_{h+e} \mathcal{D}_X$

with " $\cdot$ " for $h, l \leq 0$ or $h, l \geq 0$

- $V_0 \mathcal{D}_X$ sheaf of rings, $V_h \mathcal{D}_X$ is locally free $V_0 \mathcal{D}_X$ -module

$$- \bigcup_h V_h \mathcal{D}_X = \mathcal{D}_X; \quad (V_h \mathcal{D}_X)_{|X \setminus Y} \simeq \mathcal{D}_{X \setminus Y}$$

rh: - $\text{gr}_0^V \mathcal{D}_X$ is sheaf of rings as well

- class of $[t \partial_t] \in \text{gr}_0^V \mathcal{D}_X$ is independent of coordinate system

- locally, we have $\text{gr}_0^V \mathcal{D}_X = \mathcal{D}_1[t \partial_t]$

good V-filtrations: Put $R_V D_X := \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} V_k D_X \cdot \tau^k$ (3)

Rees ring. Then for $M \in \text{Mod}_c(D_X)$, let

$\mathcal{U}_\bullet(M)$ be increasing filtration by $V_0 D_X$ -

submodules s.t. $V_k D_X \cdot \mathcal{U}_\ell M \subseteq \mathcal{U}_{\ell+k} M$.

Prop. The following are equivalent

1.) $R_{\mathcal{U}} M := \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{U}_k M \cdot \tau^k$ is $R_V D_X$ -coherent

2.) All $\mathcal{U}_k M$ are $V_0 D_X$ -coherent and

locally $\exists k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$V_k D_X \cdot \mathcal{U}_{k_0} M = \mathcal{U}_{k+k_0} M \quad \& \quad V_{-k} D_X \cdot \mathcal{U}_{-k_0} M = \mathcal{U}_{-k_0-k} M$$

3.) locally, $\exists$ epimorphism

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^p D_X \cdot e_i \xrightarrow{\phi} M \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and } \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\text{s.t. } \mathcal{U}_k M = \left\{ \sum \phi(V_{k+\alpha_i} D_X \cdot e_i) \right\}$$

Def. $\mathcal{U}_\bullet M$ as above is called good V-filt.

Rk: $0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow M_3 \rightarrow 0$ in $\text{Mod}(\mathcal{D}_X)$

Then good V -fil of M_2 induces
good V -fil of M_1 and M_3

Pf: - for M_3 , use 3.) above

- for M_1 , use 1.) above

and $0 \rightarrow R_u M_1 \rightarrow R_u M_2 \rightarrow R_u M_3 \rightarrow 0$

Lemma: u, u' good V -fil on M

$\Rightarrow$ locally $\exists h_1, h_2$:

$$u_{\bullet+h_1} M \subset u'_{\bullet} M \subset u_{\bullet+h_2} M$$

Proof: exercise (manipulation of indices)

In the sequel, let E be any lift

to $V\mathcal{D}_X$ of the class $[t\partial_t] \in \text{gr}_0^V \mathcal{D}_X$

Prop: $M \in \text{Mod}_c(D_X)$. @

5

1.) $\exists$ good V -filtration $u. M$

and $\exists$ locally $\theta(s) \neq 0 \in \mathbb{C}[[s]]$ s.t.

$$\theta(E + h) U_h M \subset U_{h-1} M \quad \forall h \in \mathbb{Z}$$

2.) Same statement for any good V -filtration $u. M$

3.) Let locally $M = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} D_X \cdot m_i$, then

$$\exists \theta(s) \neq 0 \in \mathbb{C}[[s]] : \theta(E) m_i \in \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} V_{-1} D_X \cdot m_j$$

Proof: 1.) $\Rightarrow$ 2.): Let $u. M$ be good V -filt

satisfying 1.) with B-pol $\theta(s)$, let $u'. M$ be any

good V -filt. By previous lemma, $\exists h_1, h_2$:

$$U_{\bullet+h_1} M \subset u'. M \subset U_{\bullet+h_2} M$$

hence $U' M$ has good V -filtration

2.) $\Rightarrow$ 3.) After earlier proposition,

$$U_k \mathcal{M} := \sum V_k \mathcal{D}_x \cdot m; \quad \text{is good, hence,}$$

after 2.) $\theta(E) \cdot u_0 \mathcal{M} \subset u_{-1} \mathcal{M}$, i.e.

$$\theta(E) \cdot m_i \subset \sum V_{-1} \mathcal{D}_x m_i$$

3.) $\Rightarrow$ 1.) But $u_2 M := \sum v_k \partial_{x_k} m_i$

we have (ex.): $\theta(E+k) \cdot V_k \mathcal{I}_x \subset V_k \mathcal{I}_x + \theta(E) \cdot V_{k-1} \mathcal{I}_x$

$$\text{hence } h(E+k) \cdot u_k M \subset \sum V_k D_x \cdot V_{k-1} D_x \cdot m_i \\ + \sum V_{k-1} D_x m_i$$

$$= \sum V_{k-1} D_x \cdot m_i = u_{k-1} M \quad \square$$

Def. $M \in \text{Mod}_c(D_X)$ is called specialisable (along $\{t=0\}$) iff it satisfies cond' of the previous proposition.

Let $u \cdot M$ be good V -filt. of such M ,

Then $\{h(s) \mid h(E+k)u_k \subset u_{k-1} \forall k\}$ is ideal in $\mathbb{C}[[s]]$, we call monic generator $g^{u \cdot M}(s)$ the local B.-S.-polynomial of $u \cdot M$.

Rk. $0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow M_3 \rightarrow 0$

M_2 specialisable $\Leftrightarrow M_1, M_3$ specialisable

8

Theorem: Let $\sigma: \mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ be a section of $\pi: \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}$, let $M \in \text{Mod}_c(\mathcal{D}_\times)$ be specializable. $\exists!$ good V -filtration $V^\bullet M$ s.t. its B.S.-pol has roots in $\text{Im}(\sigma)$.

Proof: Let U, M be any good V -filt. with B.S.-pol. $b^U(s)$. We can assume:

$\forall \alpha$ root of $b^U(s)$: $\text{Re}(\alpha) \leq \text{Re} \sigma(\alpha)$

(by shift of U, M).

Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{G}$ with: $b^U(\lambda) = 0$ & $\text{Re} \lambda < \text{Re} \sigma(\lambda)$,

write $b^U(s) = (s-\lambda)^\ell \cdot b_1(s)$ $b_1(\lambda) \neq 0$

Put $U'_R M := U_{R-1} M + (E+R-1)^\ell U_R M$

Claim: $U'_R M$ is good with B.S.-pol $(s-\lambda-1)^\ell b_1(s)$

Pf of claim: $(E+k-1-1)^l \theta_1(E+k) u'_k M =$

9

$$(E+k-1-1)^l \theta_1(E+k) u_{k-1} M + (E+k-1-1)^l \underbrace{\theta_1(E+k) (E+k-1)^l}_{= \theta^u(E+k)} u_k M$$

$$\subset u_{k-1} M$$

$$= \theta_1(E+k) \cdot \underbrace{(E+k-1-1)^l u_{k-1} M}_{\subset u'_{k-1} M} + \underbrace{(E+k-1-1)^l u_{k-1} M}_{\subset u'_{k-1} M}$$

$$\subset u'_{k-1} M$$

□

Hence, inductively, we can construct $V^\phi M$

s.t. $\forall \alpha$ root of $f^{V^\phi}(\lambda)$: $\operatorname{Re} \alpha \geq \operatorname{Re} \phi \pi \alpha$, i.e.

with roots of $f^{V^\phi}(\lambda) \subset \operatorname{Im}(\phi)$

remains to show: $V^\phi M$ is unique with this

property: let $U.M$ and $V.M$ be two

such filtrations, show $U.M \subset V.M$

we know: $\exists \ell \in \mathbb{Z}: U_k M \subset V_{k+\ell} M \quad \forall k. \quad \boxed{10}$

$$\ell \leq 0 \Rightarrow U. M \subset V. M$$

$\ell \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$: we have $\gcd(b^u(s+k), b^v(s+k+\ell)) = 1$,
since roots of $b^u(s)$ and $b^v(s)$ are both in $\mathbb{Im}(G)$

$$\Rightarrow \exists p, q \in \mathbb{Q}[s]: p(s) \cdot b^u(s+k) + q(s) \cdot b^v(s+k+\ell) = 1$$

hence, $\forall m \in U_k M$:

$$m = p(E) \cdot \underbrace{b^u(E+k)m}_{\in U_{k-1} M} + q(E) \cdot \underbrace{b^v(E+k+\ell)m}_{\in V_{k+\ell-1} M}$$

$$\in \underbrace{U_{k-1} M + V_{k+\ell-1} M}_{\stackrel{(*)}{\subset} V_{k+\ell-1} M} \subset V_{k+\ell-1} M$$

hence we have shown: If $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ is such that

$$U_k M \subset V_{k+\ell} M, \text{ then } U_k M \subset V_{k+\ell-1} M$$

by induction $U. M \subset V. M$, symmetry " $\supset$ " $\square$

